AG 31-004

School HVAC Design Guide









DAIKIN

Introduction
History of School HVAC4
General Issues5
Room Design5
Load Calculations7
Regional Issues9
Sound Issues9
Design Conditions
Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)18
Ventilation Rate Procedure
Energy Efficiency
Decentralized Systems22
General
Unit Ventilators23
General
Economizer Operation23
Draftstop [®]
Classroom Exhaust
Unit Ventilators with Chillers and Boilers
Piping Design
Pumping Design
Self-Contained Unit Ventilators
WSHP Unit Ventilators
WSHPs
General
WSHPs for Classrooms
Ground Source Heat Pumps
Fan Coils
General
Fan Coils for Classrooms

Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems (DOAS)
General
Outdoor Air Psychrometrics
Energy Recovery Systems
Central Systems
General
Heating and Ventilating Systems41
VAV Systems
Duct Design Basics
Central System Equipment
Aighted the sector of the sect
Air Handling Units
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48 Rooftop Systems 48
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48 Rooftop Systems 48 Vertical Self-Contained Systems 49
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48 Rooftop Systems 48 Vertical Self-Contained Systems 49 Templifier™ 50
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48 Rooftop Systems 48 Vertical Self-Contained Systems 49 Templifier™ 50 HVAC Controls 51
Air Handling Units 47 Chillers 48 Rooftop Systems 48 Vertical Self-Contained Systems 49 Templifier™ 50 HVAC Controls 51 Conclusion 52

The information contained within this guide represents the opinions and suggestions of Daikin Applied. Equipment, and the application of the equipment and system suggestions are offered by Daikin Applied as suggestions and guidelines only, and Daikin Applied does not assume responsibility for the performance of any system as a result of these suggestions. The system engineer is responsible for system design and performance.

Introduction

Studies have shown that the quality of our children's education is directly connected to the quality of the classroom environment. It is incumbent upon school officials, design engineers, architects and equipment manufacturers to provide the best possible environment that encourages learning. Sound, temperature, humidity and Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) must all be balanced with a fiscally and environmentally responsible solution.

The May 2003 ASHRAE Journal article by John Fischer [ASHRAE 2003] quoting a 1995 General Accounting Office survey [GAO 1995] of 10,000 schools in 5000 school districts reported about 50 percent of the schools reported at least one unsatisfactory environmental condition; while 33 percent reported multiple unsatisfactory conditions. Of those, half reported four to six unsatisfactory conditions. Those conditions most frequently reported to be unsatisfactory were acoustics for noise control, ventilation, and physical security. Nineteen percent of schools surveyed suffer from indoor air quality issues, and more than one-third of the schools surveyed considered their HVAC systems less than adequate.

Unfortunately, resolving the issue of poor IAQ in school buildings can be costly for school building owners who face multiple financial demands. The technologies for solving issues of poor IAQ are well known and adequately documented in the industry literature. When school officials have the necessary resources to provide good HVAC systems for their buildings they will always choose to do so. Good HVAC design includes consideration of premium energy use efficiency as well. School buildings are historically built for long service lives and school officials are often just as concerned with long term operating costs as the first cost of construction. As a class of building types, school buildings have led the way in high performance energy conscious design, even approaching net zero energy building design.

This edition of the "School HVAC Design Manual" expands upon the use of unit ventilators in class room settings. It also looks closely at the issue of proper humidity control in schools from several perspectives.

The purpose of this manual is to provide the design engineer with a variety of HVAC solutions for classroom environments. Issues such as IAQ, energy efficiency, sound, complexity, serviceability, first cost and operating cost will all be covered.

History of School HVAC

It was 1901 when J.H. Kinealy, President of the American Society of Heating and Ventilating Engineers, admonished the membership by saying: "The heating engineer must have, to a certain degree, that kind of knowledge which a physician has in order to enable him to determine what are the effects of too high or too low a temperature upon the human body, what are the effects of too great or too small an amount of moisture in the air breathed by human beings, and what are the effects of impure air upon the health and wellbeing of the occupants of rooms. There are today many physicians who, either through old fogyism or lack of broad training, do not know what they should or at least do not advocate what should be advocated for the proper ventilating and heating of schools, hospitals and other building in which a large numbers of people are to be congregated for a considerable period of time... Heating engineers must be able to convince the physician that he knows what he is talking about and is right in what he says, or he must be able to convince those who have the deciding voice in the question of how a building shall be heated and ventilated."

Post World War II construction of school buildings brought new challenges to HVAC designers. Many ventilation system design strategies hadn't changed significantly for decades and the mad rush to build more schools to house the baby boom children didn't leave much time for careful thought on new designs. The most common solution was the application of the unit ventilator, which allowed simple design and convenient construction in a short time. Manufacturers produced vast numbers of these units in response to the growing demand and they evolved little until the 1990's. The energy crisis of the 1970's did more to alter the school ventilation business than did the baby boom. Suffice it to say that most of the changes to rules and laws on ventilating school buildings owe, in large part, to the effects of the energy crisis. There was, however, some push back on the fast developing trend to cut ventilation rates in schools to conserve energy. After numerous schools developed problems with sick building syndrome and consequently sick children and teachers, the court system stepped in to curb the enthusiasm over eliminating outdoor air in school HVAC design.

A study done by doctors at the University Hospital in Uppsala, Sweden found a statistically significant correlation between the occurrence of asthma among school staff and the total concentration of mold organisms in the school air.

A large school district near coastal Texas suffered from excessive mold growth in many school buildings due to summer cleaning with large quantities of water and a de-energized water chilling space conditioning system leading to significant excess moisture pooling in the spaces. [ASHRAE 2005]



General Issues

In North America, the typical school system consists of elementary schools (kindergarten to grade 6), middle schools (grade 7 to 9) and high schools (grades 10 to 12). Typically, 6 to 10 elementary schools feed 2 middle schools, which in turn feed 1 high school. Population density and demographics have a large impact on school planning.

Elementary schools consist of approximately 10 to 15 classrooms, an administration area, a gymnasium and a library. Elementary schools are used throughout the school season (late August to June), but see little use in the summer unless they hold summer school. They are generally occupied from 7:00 am to 3:00 pm.

A trend in elementary schools is to include science classrooms which require special consideration in design. Another popular trend is to attach a day care center to elementary schools. In most cases the day care centers are operated as a stand-alone facility and they require their own HVAC system.

Middle schools are larger than elementary schools. In addition to the facilities found in elementary schools, middle schools also have computer classrooms and locker rooms. Middle schools are open longer during the day than elementary schools due to extracurricular activities. Modern middle schools may contain a natatorium.

High schools can also include cafeterias, natatoriums, skating rinks, industrial shops, home economics rooms, stores and auto repair shops. Like middle schools, high schools are open longer during the day. In addition, high schools are often used in the summer, either for summer school or to make use of their special facilities (gymnasiums, natatoriums, shop facilities, etc.).

Colleges and technical schools are similar to high schools. They have similar facilities and are used year round. Night school programs extend the operating hours into the weekday evenings.

Many trends have emerged in recent years including a return to neighborhood schools and longer school years. Improved building design has made modern schools more airtight. These issues affect the decision making process for HVAC design.

Room Design

Classrooms are typically 900 to 1000 ft² (30' by 30') and hold approximately 20 to 30 students. At a minimum, the space must be heated and ventilated. Middle school and high school classrooms are typically air conditioned as well. In hot or humid climates, consideration should also be given to air conditioning elementary classrooms.

The classroom heating load usually peaks early in the day when the ventilation system goes into the occupied mode. Cooling loads usually peak late in the day.

Elementary classrooms generally have at least one exterior wall with windows. While modern construction resolves most infiltration and drafting issues, buildings constructed with older materials should be carefully evaluated for infiltration concerns. In addition, a washroom may be attached to each classroom, requiring local exhaust.

Middle and high schools may have classrooms that have only interior loads. Such classrooms will require year round cooling.

Gymnasiums may be used in the evenings and on weekends. A dedicated HVAC system is recommended to deal with the range of loads and scheduling issues. If a wood floor is provided in the gymnasium, humidity control must be reviewed carefully with the flooring manufacturer to ensure the HVAC design will protect the floor.

Administrative areas are generally occupied before, during, and after school hours. To deal with the longer schedule, a dedicated HVAC system is recommended. In addition, because the occupancy level in the administrative area is lower than in classrooms, the outdoor air requirement is reduced. This should be considered in the selection of HVAC equipment to take advantage of first cost and operating cost opportunities. Administrative areas may be the only spaces within elementary schools that are air-conditioned.

Cafeterias and Auditoriums are often found in middle and high schools. Kitchens associated with the cafeterias required special ventilation and fire prevention equipment. NFPA requirements should be reviewed for such areas. Cafeteria and auditorium usage is tied to meal times and special events. Auditoriums may be required to operate in the evenings. Dedicated systems are recommended. Outdoor air ventilation systems are a special challenge because of the high population density when fully occupied. ASHRAE Standard 62.1 should be consulted. Science Classrooms can have very special HVAC needs depending on the range of work performed in the space. Elementary classrooms may only need additional ventilation for experimental demonstrations performed by the teacher, or any animals kept in the room.

Middle and high school science rooms may require fume hoods and makeup air systems with materials selected to withstand the chemicals used. Higher ventilation rates are also recommended to dilute odors. Material storage and preparation areas should be ventilated continuously.

Computer classrooms have high sensible heat gains from the computers and peripherals in the room. Humidification may also need to be addressed depending upon the equipment used in the classroom. Table 1 shows heat gains from Pentium grade computers and monitors.

Table 1: Heat Gains from Computers

	Continuous (Watts)	Energy Saver (Watts)		
Average Value	55	20		
Conservative Value	65	25		
Highly Conservative Value	75	30		
Small Monitor (13"-15")	55	0		
Medium Monitor(16"-18")	70	0		
Large Monitor (19"-20")	80	0		

Typical laser printers generate 215 watts during continuous use and 35 watts when idle.

Auto repair shops require outdoor ventilation and makeup air to dilute odors and fumes. Typically, shops are heated and ventilated but not air-conditioned. Return air from the shop should not be used in other spaces and the shop should be maintained at a negative pressure to contain odors. Because a shop can be used outside of regular school hours, a dedicated system is recommended. Special exhaust equipment to deal with welding fumes may also be required.

Ice rinks have special HVAC requirements in order to maintain a good ice surface without fogging, and to provide comfort for spectators. Ice rinks should have dedicated HVAC systems to accommodate their special requirements and extended operating hours.

School Stores are usually open for short periods of time. The HVAC system selection should accommodate the intermittent use.

Natatoriums have special HVAC needs. Humidity control from the pool surface is critical. Natatoriums should have dedicated HVAC systems designed for this application and to accommodate extended operating hours.

Industrial shops are similar to auto shops. Specialized equipment, such as dust collectors, may be required depending on the use. High sensible heat gains are possible due to machinery located in the space. A clear understanding of the machinery and its use will allow the designer to apply the proper diversity and avoid unnecessary first cost.

Locker rooms usually need to be exhausted directly to the outside if there are showers or toilets. As a result, makeup air is required to offset the exhaust. The space needs only heating and ventilation and the HVAC system be scheduled to shut down during unoccupied hours.

Home economics rooms can have high sensible heat gains from appliances. In addition, kitchen fume hoods and the appropriate makeup air may also be required. The space should be maintained at a negative pressure to contain odors.

Load Calculations

Accurate load calculations are critical to a well-designed HVAC system. Estimates for infiltration and drafting should be based on the actual school design, not on estimates from previous projects. Although some spaces will have high sensible heat gains, outdoor air will be the dominant load, particularly in modern buildings.

Figure 1 shows a classroom with southern exposure in the Chicago area. The classroom load peaks in September and the outdoor air load peaks in August. The HVAC system is decentralized with an energy recovery outdoor air system. Most of the outdoor air cooling occurs in the outdoor air unit (20% of the total load). Additional outdoor air cooling is required at the classroom unit (another 13%). The total outdoor air load is 33% of the classroom load.

The glass, wall and roof loads are much smaller. Even if they were larger (poor or older construction), the outdoor air load would still be the dominant parameter in the load analysis.

The high population density should also be noted. The occupants represent 26% of the total load. Like the outdoor air load, this occurs in every classroom (North side, South side or in the core).

School loads differ from office building loads because of their low sensible heat factors (sensible cooling/total cooling). In Figure 1, the sensible heat factor is 0.69. A typical sensible heat factor for offices is 0.90. Therefore, equipment designed for office environments will not be suitable for school environments. Figure 2 shows the same load breakdown but for heating. Again, the outdoor air load is dominant, representing 64% of the heat loss from the space.

An important conclusion can be drawn from these load calculations. All of the classrooms will behave approximately the same and their behavior will be dictated by the outdoor weather. Even larger schools with interior core classrooms will have similar characteristics. The details of just how the school zones will behave can only be found by performing the necessary heating and cooling load analysis.



Figure 1: Classroom Cooling Load Breakdown





Figure 2: Classroom Heating Load Breakdown

Classroom

Corridor

Regional Issues

Whether the school is located in Florida or Minneapolis has a large effect on the HVAC design. Humidity and dehumidification concerns provide the widest range of issues. In humid climates, humidity control is critical to avoid mold growth and to provide good IAQ. Both the capacity and the operating mode of the HVAC equipment must be considered. A DX cooling system that is cycling OFF several times an hour will allow large volumes of humid air to enter the space during the OFF cycles. Although the equipment may meet the design cooling and dehumidification load, it fails to maintain a proper environment because of its operating mode.

Sound Issues

In recent years sound has moved to the forefront as a key parameter in the quality of the learning environment. Table 2 is the recommended sound levels from the ASHRAE Handbook.

Table 2: Recommended Sound Levels

Space	A – Sound Levels dB	Desired NC (Noise Criteria)		
Libraries, classrooms	35–45	30		
Laboratories, shops	40-50	35–50		
Gyms, multipurpose, corridors	40–55	40–45		
Kitchens, cafeterias	45–55	40		

Source: 2015 ASHRAE Handbook

Classroom construction makes it more difficult to achieve low sound levels than in than in office spaces. There is little material to absorb sound energy and the hard, dense surfaces reflect sound energy back into the room.

Sound generating mechanical equipment (fan coils, watersource heat pumps, and fan powered VAV) should be located in the corridor where possible. Figure 3 shows the recommended duct design for a classroom. A return air elbow is recommended for all systems when a corridor ceiling plenum is used for the return air path. Fire dampers may be required in both the supply and return ducting, depending on the rating of the wall between the classroom and the corridor.

Special care should be taken in selecting diffusers. For a standard classroom of 1000 ft², four - diffusers are recommended. If less than four diffusers are used, the required throw may make them too noisy. Most diffuser catalogs are based on only one diffuser in the space and a room absorption of 10 dB re 10–12 watts. While these are acceptable assumptions for an office, they are optimistic for a classroom. As a rule of thumb, for each additional diffuser, subtract 3 dB from the cataloged NC rating (i.e., for 4 diffusers, subtract 9 dB from the cataloged performance). Volume control dampers should be located between the flex duct and the main duct, away from the diffuser. Northern climates are concerned with freezing. Great care must be taken to avoid freeze-ups and the subsequent damage they can cause.

Most schools are designed by local engineers who are familiar with local issues. When designing projects in different areas of the country, special care must be taken to understand the needs of each local area.

Duct velocities should be limited to 800–1000 fpm to minimize sound issues. The supply duct should be acoustically lined for the first 10 ft. The return air elbow should also be lined. If duct lining is not acceptable, a sound attenuator with an insertion loss of 10 dB at 125 Hz is recommended.

Discharge and radiated sound from terminal equipment must also be carefully considered. Using cataloged NC levels for terminal equipment is not recommended. The assumptions made to derive NC levels are probably optimistic for classroom applications. Instead, use sound power ratings. Most terminal equipment manufacturers can provide both radiated and discharge sound power levels. For discharge sound power levels, 75 dB at 125 Hz and 72 dB at 250 Hz is recommended.





Horizontal Unit Ventilator Case Study

Two classrooms in an existing high school were retrofitted with horizontal unit ventilators (HUV).

Horizontal unit ventilators can and do make an impact on the noise levels in classrooms. However, the application of those units has a significant effect on their noise performance. The old HUVs which served the classrooms in this case study clearly influenced the quality of the noise environment and the new HUVs, in low speed, had much less effect on the noise environment while providing the same level of comfort air conditioning.

The existing HUVs were objectionable to the students and staff in the classrooms of this high school. Measured sound from these units showed the rooms noise was about 53 dBA. After the new Daikin Horizontal Unit Ventilators were installed as fully ducted concealed applications, the noise levels were reduced dramatically and, in low speed, met the requirements of ANSI S12.60-2002 as measured in a real classroom. Measured sound levels at low speed were within 2 dBA of the required 35 dBA in 5 out of 6 locations in one test room and in all locations in another test room. In high speed, the installed units demonstrated a reduction in noise levels from between 9.6 to 16.8 dBA depending upon the location in the room.

Both rooms were equipped with 1500 cfm units. The ducts and diffusers were slightly oversized to reduce the noise and the ductwork was lined. An existing outside air sound attenuator was retained and eliminated the ambient airport noise from the rooms.

One test room, a science classroom, requires 1534 cfm of air at peak cooling load. The installed unit only saw less than a third of an inch of static pressure so was able to provide 1815 cfm at high speed. Most of the time, this classroom will be satisfied with the unit running at low speed. The low speed air volume delivered is 1258 cfm.

The second classroom is a small general purpose room that needs only 1376 cfm at peak load. Its new HUV is able to deliver this air even at low speed. At high speed, this unit delivers 1825 cfm at just over one quarter inch of static.

The low static pressures are achievable in part due to the larger duct and diffuser size. Another feature was the removal of the air filters from the units and installation of new larger air filters in the oversized return diffusers and the outside air intake after the sound attenuator.

Existing Condition

Two existing HUV units were found to be installed partially below the ceiling of these two classrooms and were connected to respective sound attenuators at the outdoor air inlet.

A local contractor was hired to install new AAF®HermanNelson Classroom Ceiling Unit Ventilators in the two existing classrooms. They were piped up to the existing chilled and heating water and included their own self-contained controls with remote thermostat. See Figure 5 and Figure 6.

They were sized according to the load in the space and according to a desire for lower velocities in the unit, the ductwork and the diffusers.

NEW HUV

- Model No. U-AHB-6-H15-A-S-65-F-23-AH-29-G-W-B-1
- · Ceiling mounted, face and bypass with reheat
- High static 1500 cfm nominal capacity
- 115V/60 Hz /1 phase
- 3 row chilled water coil
- 1 row hot water coil
- Fully ducted, supply, return and outside air with linked dampers

Figure 4: Existing Classroom 33 – HUV AAF Model AH-006





Figure 5: Existing Classroom 33 – HUV AAF Model AH-006



NOTE: The plenum houses an outdoor air sound attenuator

Figure 6: Existing Classroom 35 – AAF Model AH-006



NOTE: The plywood deflection for noise abatement. These units have water coils.

Figure 7: Schematic Plan for New HUV





Figure 8: Return Grills with Integral Air Filters





RESULTS

Data Analysis

The existing HUV was objectionable to the students and staff in the test classroom. Measured sound from this unit showed the room noise was about 53 dBA. The sound pattern in Room 35 shows that the amplitude of noise varies with the location of the sample. The differences in amplitude by location are also not consistent with the frequency, suggesting that some frequencies reflect differently in the room. Figure 10 has amplitude sorted by the 4 kHz octave band and yet other octave bands show different amplitudes by location. Lower frequency bands have amplitude variability that is different than higher frequency bands. The higher frequencies may be influenced more by diffuser hiss and the lower frequencies by fan or duct rumble.

In every frequency, the actual measured sound is less than the tested sound generated by the HUV. This room attenuation is shown in Figure 9. Two noise criteria or NC curves are shown for comparison. The NC curves do not follow the generated or measured sound data but give a reference for design purposes. NC curves also do not offer any guidance on the quality of the sound. The HUV installed in this room suffered from poor quality as well as volume and this was more objectionable to the occupants. The plywood deflector shown in Figure 6 is an indication of the efforts of occupants to mitigate the objectionable sound quality.

Figure 9: Pretest of Old HUV and Two NC curves



Figure 10: Old HUV Room 35 in 1/3 Octave Band at all Six Locations





Presentation of Results

After installation, the new HUV were tested using the same instrument under the same conditions at the exact same locations as the pretest of the old HUV.

Figure 17 and Figure 18 show a comparison of the old HUV, the background noise with the room empty and no equipment operating, and the three speeds of the new HUV in each room.

In both rooms, the high and medium speeds did not meet the ANSI 35dBA threshold. However, both rooms did meet the standard at low speed.

No classroom is ever totally silent as is shown by the background noise data. Horizontal unit ventilators can and do make significant differences in the noise levels in classrooms. The old HUV clearly influenced the quality of the noise environment in these classrooms and the new HUV, in low speed, had little effect on the noise environment in these applications.

The answers to the questions of this study are these:

- 1. Proper sizing and installation of a horizontal unit ventilator can result in classroom noise levels at or below the ANSI S12.60-2002 levels.
- A properly sized and located sound attenuator, such as those already existing in this facility, can attenuate ambient noise from transportation to satisfactory levels.

Unit Air Flow Performance

Each room HUV was tested for air flow and static pressure.

Room 33 needs 1376 cfm at peak load (29,714 Btuh) and the HUV delivered 1825 cfm at 0.277 in. w.g. total static in high speed. Medium speed was 1586 and low speed 1376 cfm.

Room 35 needs 1534 cfm at peak load (33,128 Btuh) and the HUV delivered 1815 cfm at 0.327 in. w.g. total static in high speed. Medium speed was 1477 cfm and low speed 1258 cfm.

Figure 11: Room 33 – New HUV Now Above Ceiling Existing Outdoor Air Attenuator Remained in Place



Figure 12: Room 33 – New HUV Diffuser Locations



Figure 13: Room 35 – New HUV Now Above Ceiling, Existing Outdoor Air Attenuator Remained in Place



Figure 14: Room 35 – Diffuser Locations





Unit Sound Performance

Figure 15: Room 33 – Test Results (Data from Location 5 was Removed from the Summary)



Figure 17: Room 33 – Summary of Average Sound



Figure 18: Room 35 – Summary of Average Sound



Figure 16: Room 35 – Test Results



Design Conditions

Table 3 lists recommended dry bulb temperatures from the ASHRAE handbook. For highly populated spaces, where the sensible heat factor is less than 0.75, lower dry bulb temperatures will result in less latent heat from the occupants. This may reduce the overall cooling and/or reheat requirement for the school. The actual dry bulb design condition should be carefully reviewed.

Dry Bulb Temperature Recommendations

Table 3: Recommended Winter and Summer DesignDry Bulb Temperatures for Various Spaces Common inSchools

Space	Winter Design (°F)	Summer Design (°F)
Laboratories	72	78 ^d
Auditoriums, Libraries, Administrative areas etc.	72	78
Classrooms (Pre-K through 3rd)	75	78e
Classrooms (4th through 12th)	72	78e
Shops	72	78 ^b
Locker, Shower rooms	75 ^d	c, d
Toilets	72	с
Storage	65	c, d
Mechanical rooms	60	С
Corridors	68	80 ^d

NOTES:

b. Frequently not air conditioned

c. Usually not air conditioned

d. Provide ventilation for odor control

e. if RH can be held between 30% and 60% then this can be 80°F

Humidity Control

In 1910, the Chicago Department of Health appointed a commission to study ventilation of school buildings. The commission's report concluded that carbon dioxide was "not the harmful agent of major importance in expired air or air otherwise contaminated." Instead, the report concluded that a temperature of 68°F with proper humidity control is desired in occupied rooms that are artificially heated and "that from the standpoint of health, relative humidity is one of the important factors in ventilation." In spite of this report, the ventilation standards of the day only required minimum ventilation rates of outdoor air and not humidity control. [RH1]

Most cooling systems, when properly sized, can dehumidify room air when running at full-load conditions. Some systems are better suited than others to effectively dehumidify at part load conditions.

Cooling coils make good dehumidifiers. However, they can only perform when their temperature is continuously cold (< 55°F) and air is flowing over them continuously. Therefore, either chilled water or Direct Expansion (DX) coils will work well for dehumidification as long as they do not cycle OFF.

If a DX coil must cycle OFF, then the OFF cycle times must be as short as possible relative to the total cycle time. AHRI Guideline A recommends a minimum off cycle for refrigeration compressors of five minutes. A fifteen minute or longer "on" cycle and a five-minute "OFF" cycle is reasonable. (See "Temperature Control" section) Another variation of the cycling DX coil is the two-stage coil. It is important to be aware of the method of splitting the coils to avoid a warm coil temperature. Coils that are "row-split" may have an average coil temperature warm enough to allow a high space relative humidity. A "face-split" coil will keep the active coil cold enough for good dehumidification. This arrangement is especially good when the air volume varies and the "dead" coil is isolated by dampers. An ideal DX system will modulate both the air volume and the compressor output to match the load continuously.

A chilled water coil works well if it is served by a steady supply of continuously low temperature water. (<45°F) The chilled water coil must also be continuously cold. If the coil temperature rises from over-design air flow or low water volume the coil will become dry. Control systems that reset chilled water temperature upward should monitor the dew point temperature to lock out this reset until the ambient dew point falls below 55°F.

Dew Point Control

Dew point measurement is not very easy nor is it cheap. Nevertheless, it is the best method of controlling the humidity in a space. Relative humidity sensors, which are less expensive and widely available, become the control of choice for most school humidity control. Traditionally, RH sensors have had a roll in controlling humidifiers and economizers but they should also be used in cooling coil control.

Precise relative humidity control is not necessary for most education spaces. The comfort control range described above is quite broad at from 35°F DP to 65°F DP. This range also works well for minimizing the health effects. Relative humidity in the space can be allowed to float from 30% to 60% for most of the year and as low as 20% in the depth of the winter. Below 20% the controls should conserve moisture generated from the space as much as possible.

"Free Cooling" economizers can actually do more harm than good in some circumstances. Even enthalpy controlled economizers may load up a space with moisture. A better solution is to set any economizer control so it never uses outdoor air with a higher dew point than the level desired in the space. From the previous paragraph, a dew point control should lock out the economizer when the ambient dew point was above 65°F regardless of the dry bulb temperature.

Temperature Control

Space temperature control of cooling coils turns out to be a relatively poor choice for dehumidification of a space. Cooling coils that are controlled only by space temperature may deliver air with high dew points potentially loading up the space with moisture. Chilled water flow control in cooling coils may be reduced to the point where dehumidification stops completely. Direct expansion coils will cycle OFF for long periods of time during periods of low sensible loads.

DX systems have an additional problem with part load conditions. As unitary equipment becomes more energy efficient, their cooling coils have a lower capacity to remove moisture at part load conditions. An important variable appears to be the greater fin surface area of newer, higher efficiency cooling coils compared to those from 20 years ago. These larger fins can store more moisture before it runs off into the drain pan. Research has shown that coils from the 1980s typically store 200 runtime seconds of moisture on their fins and 1996 coils can store 720 runtime seconds of moisture. This is why DX coils have trouble with moisture removal unless they have run times of fifteen minutes or more. Continuous fan operation without continuous coil operation may re-evaporate this stored moisture. Intermittent fan operation will reduce this re-evaporation if the OFF cycle is relatively short.

Systems

Simple Design Rules: Classroom ventilation systems typically used by designers can be capable of providing dehumidification. All system types should follow these simple rules:

- Size cooling for the ventilation latent and sensible load (See "Loads")
- Provide a trap on the condensate drain for all drawthrough units
- Provide relative humidity sensing and control of the cooling coil (Measure RH from one or more rooms directly if possible)
- Provide minimum outside air quantities to comply with the building codes
- Provide reheat or desiccant moisture removal with more than 75% site recovered energy
- · Control space relative humidity to 60% max

Loads: The moisture load calculation represents an agreement between equipment supplier, system designer, owner, and contractors. This agreement defines the assumptions that form the foundation of the system design. Ventilation, infiltration and people generate the principal moisture loads in a classroom. The largest load is the ventilation air, which will be nearly constant when the room is occupied. Other loads from people and wet material are intermittent. If the system is not able to remove all of this moisture at peak times, the excursion will be short lived as long as the system has the capacity to keep up with the continuous ventilation load. Therefore, the cooling coil of any classroom system should be sized for the expected ventilation latent and sensible load.

Humidity Range

Both low and high humidity levels are concerns. Figure 19 shows the Sterling bar graph from the 2000 ASHRAE handbook indicating how high and low humidity levels affect key health factors.

Humidification in dry climates is not mandatory, but it will improve the environment for the occupants. Dehumidification in humid climates is a larger concern because potentially harmful microbial can grow in damp locations. The HVAC system should be designed to maintain the relative humidity between 40 and 60% throughout its entire operating range.

Figure 19: Sterling Bar Graph



System Complexity

The requirements of good indoor air quality, comfort, energy efficiency and acoustics can lead the designer to some very complex HVAC solutions. Because school districts represent owner occupied customers, the school district's ability to support the system must be considered in the design of the HVAC system. If the system becomes so complicated that no one can operate it, then the designer's efforts have been in vain.

The designer should understand and appreciate the skills and limitations of the school district that will operate the system, and this should be taken into account when selecting the HVAC system.

Serviceability

In addition to operating the HVAC system, the school district will also have to maintain it. They are acutely aware of the maintenance costs associated with operating schools and will highly value serviceability.

Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)

Schools present unique challenges for achieving good IAQ. For example, the population density of an average classroom (900 ft² classrooms with thirty students) is three times that of a typical office.

ASHRAE has developed Standard 62.1, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality. It is in normative (code enforceable) language and has become the minimum standard of care in most building codes.

Standard 62.1 provides two procedures to obtain acceptable indoor air quality. Table 4 represents the ventilation rate procedure, which is the most popular. There is also the Indoor Air Quality Procedure. The later requires identifying and controlling known and specifiable contaminants. The minimum outdoor air rates listed in Standard 62.1 represent the minimum supply air volume to the space. The total supply air can be made up of the minimum outdoor air and acceptable recirculated air. It is acceptable to supply more air to meet the heating or cooling loads.

The HVAC system design must be able to maintain space temperature and humidity conditions at the minimum outdoor air rate. For example, with a VAV system, the minimum airflow rate for the VAV box must be the minimum outdoor air rate for the classroom. During certain periods, this may be too much air and the classroom will be over cooled. In this case, some form of reheat would be required rather than reducing the airflow.

Ensuring the proper amount of outdoor air is brought into the school is only the first step. It is essential that the correct amount of outdoor air be delivered to each space. Dedicated makeup air systems can be ducted directly to the terminal unit (fan coils, WSHPs) and an air balance performed to ensure proper distribution.

Table 4: Outdoor Air Requirements for Ventilation from ASHRAE Standard 62.1

Application	Estimated Maximum Occupancy	nated Maximum Outdoor Air Requirements							
	P/1000 ft ² or 100 m ²	CFM/person L/s person		CFM/ft ²	L/s m ²				
Education									
Classroom 5-8	25	10	5	0.12	0.6				
Classroom 9+	35	10	5	0.12	0.6				
Laboratories	25	10	5	0.18	0.9	Special contaminant			
Training Shop	20	10	5	0.18	0.9	control systems			
Music Rooms	35	10	5	0.06	0.3	may be required for			
Libraries	25	10	5	0.12	0.6	including laboratory			
Locker Rooms	—	—	—	0.50	2.50	animal occupancy			
Corridors	—	—	—	0.06	0.03				
Auditoriums	150	7.5	3.8	0.06	0.3				



Ventilation Rate Procedure

The amount of air that needs to be brought into a central AHU is called the outdoor air intake flow. (V_{ot}).

The amount of iar that needs to be delivered to the breathing zone in an occupied space is $V_{\rm hz}$

The $V_{\rm bz}$ is the sum of the air for people plus the air for the building calculated in an equation derived from the concept of additivity.

$$V_{bz} = R_p \times P_z + R_a \times A_z$$

where:

 V_{bz} is the breathing zone ventilation rate (cfm)

 R_{p} is the ventilation rate per person from Table 4 (cfm/person)

 P_z is the number of people in the zone

 R_a is the ventilation rate per square foot in the zone (cfm/sf)

 A_{z} is the area in the zone (square feet)

Some air distribution systems are better at delivering outdoor air to the breathing zone for people than others. So the system airflow needs to be corrected for poor air distribution.

$$V_{ot} = V_{ou} / E_{v}$$

$$V_{ou} = D \Sigma_{all \ zones} R_p A_z + \Sigma_{all \ zones} R_a A_z$$
$$D = P_s \Sigma_{all \ zones} P_z$$

P_s is the total populationin the system's area

 V_{ot} is the design outdoor airflow to the system air handling unit

 E_v is the zone air distribution effectiveness for various distribution systems varying from 0.5 for a system that has significant short circuiting of air to 1.2 for displacement distribution. (See Table 6.2.25.2 in Standard 62.1-2013)

Demand Control Ventilation (DCV) allows the amount of outdoor air to modulate to meet the needs of the space. Typically they are used in spaces where the population density varies significantly. Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) is an excellent measure of the amount bio effluents in the space. People give off CO_2 and bio effluents at a rate proportional to their activity.

To design a DCV system, determine the design outdoor air rate without any diversity. Locate CO_2 sensors in the space or the return air duct for single zone systems. For multiple zone systems, CO_2 sensors must be in every zone, or at least those zones considered critical.

Calculate the maximum CO_2 concentration level allowed. Typically this is around 1000 ppm with ambient CO_2 concentrations around 300 ppm. An outdoor CO_2 sensor is not required if a conservative ambient level is used.

The minimum ventilation rate must be maintained regardless of CO_2 levels. This rate is meant to account for contaminants from building materials, carpeting, etc. The current Standard 62.1 does not provide this minimum level. It is proposed in an upcoming addendum.

A thorough explanation of the Standard is beyond the scope of this manual. It is recommended that the designer have access to the Standard and a complete understanding of its contents. ASHRAE considers Standard 62.1 a high profile standard and has it on continuous maintenance, meaning it is constantly improved rather than being reissued every 5 years.

Energy Efficiency

After payroll, the utility bill is the next largest expense for a school district. Modern construction materials and techniques help reduce the energy bill. High efficiency lighting also has a large impact on reducing the utility bill. The last big opportunity for savings is the HVAC system – particularly the outdoor air system.

ASHRAE Standard 90.1, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low Rise Residential Buildings is a normative (code enforceable) Standard endorsed by the Department of Energy (DOE). It has become the minimum standard of care for most building codes.

The standard was updated in 2013. The new version represents a significant energy improvement from the previous standard. Improvements to mechanical systems represent a major portion of the improvement.

Standard 90.1 offers two approaches to the school designer. There is also a third approach for small buildings that is generally not applicable to schools. The more popular approach for schools is the Prescriptive Method. This method provides procedures for designing the building envelope, HVAC, service water heating, electrical power, lighting and electrical motors. The standard requires all the general and mandatory provisions be met.

The other approach is the Energy Cost Budget (ECB) method. This method allows the designer to make tradeoffs. For example, the ECB method would allow the savings from more efficient lighting be used to offset a building envelope that does not meet the prescriptive method.

The following is an abbreviated list of requirements for the prescriptive method outlined in Standard 90.1. The numbers in brackets refer to the Standard section.

- 1. Standard 90.1 includes energy efficiency tables for a wide range of HVAC equipment.
- Schools are ideal candidates to schedule the HVAC equipment to be off during unoccupied hours. Standard 90.1 mandates that this process be automated (6.4.3.3).
- Demand Controlled Ventilation is required for systems with occupant density greater than 25 people per 1,000 ft² (6.4.3.8).
- 4. Air or water side economizers are required. There are several exceptions to this rule, particularly when dealing with heat recovery (6.5.1).
- 5. Simultaneous heating and cooling systems such as constant volume terminal reheat, some perimeter induction systems, constant volume dual duct or multizone systems are not permitted. These systems, while offering good space temperature control, are too inefficient (6.5.2).
- 6. VAV reheat is allowed if the minimum air volume meets the ventilation requirements prescribed by ASHRAE Standard 62.1.

- 7. Reheat is also allowed if at least 75% of the energy for reheat comes from on-site energy recovery (Templifiers).
- 8. WSHP systems must have either a bypass line around the cooler or low leakage positive closure dampers on either the cooler inlet or discharge (6.5.2.2.3).
- 9. Fan motor horsepower is limited to values listed in Table 6.5.3.1-1 in Standard 90.1. The limitation applies to the sum of all fan motors in a system such as supply, return/ relief, exhaust and fan –powered terminal units. Small exhaust fans less than or equal to 1 hp are exempt. Spaces requiring pressure control such as hospital, laboratory, and vivarium are exempt. The limitation applies to either the brake horsepower or the nameplate horsepower at the designers discretion. The limitations vary between constant and variable volume fan systems.
- Hydronic systems with a system pump power that exceeds 10 HP must employ variable flow and isolation valves at each terminal device. The system must be able to operate down to at least 50% of design flow. Individual pumps over 5 HP must have VFDs and consume no more than 30% design power at 50% design flow (6.5.4.2).
- 11. Supply temperature reset is required for hydronic systems larger than 300 MBH. Temperature reset is not required if it interferes with the proper operation of the system i.e. dehumidification (6.5.4.4).
- 12. Fan motors on cooling towers larger than 7½ HP must either have VFDs or be two speed. A control system is required to minimize power usage (6.5.5.2).
- Energy recovery is required for systems listed in Tables 6.54.6.1-1 and -2 in the standard. These tables indicate by climate zone and outside air percentage which air handling and conditioning systems require heat recovery. The heat recovery system shall have at least 50% total energy recovery effectiveness. (6.5.9).
- 14. Hot Gas Bypass for refrigeration systems is permitted, but has strict limitations (6.5.6.1).

A thorough explanation of the Standard is beyond the scope of this manual. It is recommended that the designer have access to the Standard and a complete understanding of its contents. The Standard 90.1 User's Manual is also very helpful. ASHRAE considers Standard 90.1 a high profile standard and has it on continuous maintenance, meaning it is constantly improved rather than being reissued every 5 years.

Decentralized Systems

General

Decentralized systems include Unit Ventilators, WSHPs and fan coils. Each zone is typically handled by a dedicated unit, allowing one zone to be in heating while another is in cooling. Equipment failures only affect one zone. Scheduling can be zone-specific allowing for increased operating savings.

Decentralized equipment is generally straightforward to service and can be a major advantage in rural areas. Some decentralized equipment can rival the most advanced built up systems for energy efficiency.

Disadvantages to decentralized systems include maintaining equipment spread throughout the building, often in occupied spaces. Equipment life is generally shorter and locating mechanical equipment at or near the occupied space can make sound an issue must be dealt with.



Unit Ventilators

General

Unit ventilators are the only HVAC system specifically designed for schools, and they condition more classrooms than any other HVAC system. The inclusion of an airside economizer, guarantees proper ventilation is introduced directly into the classroom. The four pipe version is one of the most energy cost effective systems because the fan power is very small.

Unit ventilators are robust enough for the classroom environment and come in a wide variety of configurations. They have integral airside economizers that allow the unit to provide up to 100% outdoor air directly into the space. They can be horizontal or vertical, and they can have steam, hot water or electric heating plus chilled water integral or remote DX cooling. There are also airside heat pump and WSHP versions. Modern unit ventilators come with sophisticated DDC controls that can maintain space conditions, operate the outdoor air/economizer section and schedule operation. With this capability, they can be integrated into a building automation system (BAS).

Economizer Operation

There are two key properties of unit ventilators: institutional quality construction and an integrated economizer. The institutional quality construction is aimed at withstanding use and abuse in the classroom environment. The economizer allows the unit ventilator to locally accommodate the IAQ needs of the classroom by introducing the necessary outdoor air.

Figure 21 shows the unit during full recirculation. This is the unoccupied mode. Only return air passes through the unit. The classroom can be maintained at either classroom conditions or unoccupied (setback) conditions without the expense of conditioning any outdoor air.

Figure 22 shows a draw through fan arrangement unit ventilator with Face and Bypass temperature control during the economizer mode. In this arrangement, the outdoor air and return air are mixed and then flowed around and through the coil(s) to maintain the proper room setpoint. The conditioned air is then delivered into the classroom

Figure 23 shows the same unit in full cooling or heating. During full heating or cooling, the outdoor air drops to the minimum design level (typical to around 450 cfm). Here again, the outside air and return air are mixed and then flowed around and through the coil and into the space.

Figure 24 shows the unit ventilator during full economizer mode. The economizer mode allows the unit ventilator to take advantage of favorable (moderate) outdoor conditions for "free" cooling. In this mode, the unit ventilator can condition the classroom with up to 100% outside air without mechanical heating or cooling. This arrangement provides the best IAQ and the lowest operating cost

Figure 20: Unit Ventilator



If the classroom temperature is set back or up, the recirculation mode can be used to quickly get the room to occupied conditions by cooling or heating 100% of the recirculated air.

Figure 21: Recirculating Mode





Figure 22: Face and Bypass Mode



Figure 24: Economizer Mode



Figure 23: Full Heating or Cooling Mode



Face and Bypass vs. Valve Control

The above examples use the preferred method of face and bypass control. The other common control strategy is valve control. In unit ventilators with valve control, the space temperature is maintained by modulating a control valve. While valve control does maintain the dry bulb setpoint and is a necessary building block for variable flow systems, it is not as effective as face and bypass control in humid or cold climates.

Figure 25 demonstrates the difference between valve control and face and bypass control on a psychometric chart. At part load operating conditions, the valve control approach, provides the same dry bulb conditions, but not the same level of dehumidification. With the face and bypass arrangement, the air that passes through the cooling coil is significantly dehumidified. When this air mixes with the bypassed air, the dry bulb setpoint is met and the wet bulb is lower than the control valve arrangement.

Cold weather climates also benefit from the face and bypass approach. The high percentage of outdoor air can make coil freezeups a concern. Valve control lowers the water velocity in the tube, which can lead to coil freezeups. This can be further exacerbated by poor control valve selection. If the valves are incorrectly sized, they may not modulate the fluid flow, but cycle like an ON-OFF valve. The face and bypass arrangement maintains full flow through the coil to protect it from freezing. When valve control is used, correct valve selection is critical for proper unit operation.

While Daikin Unit Ventilators are offered with both control options, face and bypass is strongly recommended. End-ofcycle isolation valves are available to automatically close off flow to the coil when it is no longer required.

Draw Through vs. Blow Through

The draw through fan arrangement in unit ventilators offers two key advantages. The first is even air flow across the coils. This is important because the unit ventilator cabinet is more confined than a central station air handling unit, making airflow transitions difficult. The second advantage is that the fan motor heat is added after the cooling coil. The high latent load found in classrooms makes adding the motor heat as reheat more attractive.

Figure 25: Face and Bypass vs. Control Valve



Draftstop®

Classrooms with exterior exposures typically have large windows. This can cause drafting in cold climates, especially for students located near the windows. Older schools with less efficient glass are also a concern. A Draftstop system can be used to reduce drafting.

Figure 26 shows how Draftstop collects the air from around the window and delivers it to the unit ventilator. The air is then conditioned and returned to the classroom.

Figure 26: Draftstop System



Classroom Exhaust

A typical occupied classroom needs to exhaust a minimum of 450 cfm to offset the 450 cfm of outdoor air. There must be a way to remove the exhaust air from the classroom or the required ventilation will not be met.

Figure 27 shows a Ventimatic shutter, which can provide local relief for a classroom. Another possibility is to have central exhaust fan ducted to four to six classrooms. During occupied hours, the fan operates and removes the required exhaust from each classroom If a classroom is used after regular hours, the exhaust fan can be left off to allow the exhaust air to vent into the corridor. The exhaust fan can also be interlocked with the unit ventilators and operated when any of them require exhaust air.

Figure 27: Ventimatic Shutter



Unit Ventilators with Chillers and Boilers

Using a central chiller plant and boilers in combination with unit ventilators can be more energy efficient than self-contained dx systems. Unit ventilators with one or two water coils are the most common arrangement. Single coil (2 pipe) units can provide heating and ventilating only, or heating with a changeover to cooling. Units with dedicated heating and cooling coils (4 pipe) offer excellent performance.

Using a central chiller and boilers offers many advantages. The chiller and the boilers can be sized for the school block load rather than the connected load. Taking into account diversity allows for smaller chiller/ boiler plants. The piping and pump horsepower required to heat and cool the school significantly smaller than the equivalent air duct and fan horsepower. Finally, chilled water and hot water offer accurate control with either face and bypass or valve control. Sound issues are also simplified with chiller and boiler plants.

Either air-cooled or water-cooled chiller plants can be used.

A central boiler plant can operate on natural gas or other primary energy source and modern condensing boilers with efficiencies over 90% can be used. The hydronic heating loop can be used in entrances with convectors and cabinet heaters rather than electric heaters. The boiler plant can be located in a safe remote mechanical room.

With a four-pipe system the classroom unit control is an airside economizer with either valve control or face and bypass. Two pipe heating and ventilating units have been an excellent choice for elementary schools in moderate climates. The schools have traditionally seen little occupancy during the summer, so cooling was not mandatory. The shoulder seasons can usually be handled by the economizer operation inherent in a unit ventilator. The current trend is towards longer school seasons and air conditioning even in elementary schools.

Four pipe units allow some classrooms to be in cooling mode while other classrooms are in heating. This is especially advantageous for schools with core area classrooms that require cooling while perimeter classrooms need heating. Four pipe systems require an additional set of insulated piping, another set of pumps and a second coil in the unit ventilators.



Figure 28: Four Pipe System

Two-Pipe Changeover System

Two-pipe changeover systems reduce the first cost of the system by deleting one set of insulated pipes and one set of pumps. The unit ventilator price is also reduced as there is only one coil required. This can reduce the construction cost by 25% over the four-pipe arrangement.

The first cost and operating cost savings are desirable but some performance benefits are lost with the two-pipe concept. It is no longer possible to have one unit ventilator in cooling while another is in heating. In addition, dehumidification by means of reheat is not possible. With proper design, these issues can be minimized.

Simultaneous heating and cooling cannot occur in a twopipe system which is energy efficient. However, during changeover, the hydronic system must be either heating or cooling which is not energy efficient. To deal with this issue, ASHRAE Standard 90.1 has specific requirements for changeover systems. These are:

- a. There must be a deadband in the changeover of at least 15°F outdoor air temperature.
- b. The system must be designed and installed with controls that allow operation in one mode for at least 4 hours before changing back to the other mode.
- Reset controls are provided that allow the hot water and chilled water setpoints to be no more than 30°F apart at changeover.

In addition to energy concerns, the changeover period raises comfort concerns. What if the classroom on the north side requires heating while the classroom on the south side requires cooling? The main HVAC loads in a modern classroom are outdoor air (33%) and internal heat gains (38%). These two factors are constant in all classrooms throughout the school regardless of the shoulder weather. The remaining load from the roof, walls and glass represents 29% and can vary depending on the zone. With only these loads as variable and the economizers in unit ventilators, dealing with the transition is possible.

The first requirement of Standard 90.1 is a 15°F ambient deadband. Providing stable space conditions while maintaining the deadband can be accomplished with economizers. For example, a deadband of 15°F from 50°F ambient to 65°F ambient meets the requirement. Figure 30 shows the mixed air temperature as the ambient temperature drops. At 65°F ambient, the economizer can supply air from 65°F (100% outdoor air) to 71.2°F (minimum outdoor air). At 50°F ambient, the economizer can supply air from 55°F to 65.4°F at minimum outdoor air. The actual deadband set points will depend on the specific site conditions.

The second requirement of Standard 90.1 is the 4 hour deadband. Since two thirds of the load is ambient and internal heat gains, all the classrooms will behave approximately the same. Swings from heating to cooling or visa-versa are not likely unless there are radical changes in the weather.

The last issue is the 30°F deadband. Resetting the chilled water up to 50°F and the hot water down to 80°F will meet the requirement. Resetting the hot water also resolves an issue with the coil, which has to be selected to meet the more demanding role of cooling and will be oversized for heating. An oversized coil will lead to control problems and poor space temperature control. By using a condensing boiler, the heating loop can be operated at 80°F and modulated up with an outdoor air reset controller. The lower temperature will allow good space temperature control.

School layout and orientation also play a key role in assessing how the school will perform during the transition period. The school layout may be such that one block or wing of classrooms will behave differently than another block of classrooms. This can be resolved by having two loops, one serving each block of classrooms.





Piping Design

Piping design for unit ventilators is straightforward as long as good piping practice is followed. For chilled water and hot water systems, ASHRAE Standard 90.1 has specific pipe insulation requirements. Reverse return piping is favorable due to its inherent self-balancing. However, direct return piping is possible. Proper balancing valves should be installed to allow the system to be balanced.

Traditional operating conditions are 44°F EWT/ 54°F LWT for chilled water and 180°F EWT/160°F LWT for boiler loops. For chilled water, these temperatures result in 2.4 USGPM/ton. For heating, the result is 1 MBH per USGPM. Using larger delta T's for the water loops reduces first cost (smaller piping and pumps) and operating cost (lowers horsepower). However, it usually hurts equipment performance by lowering the LMTD (Log Mean Temperature Difference). Careful evaluation is required to determine the best operating temperatures and flow rates. In the case of two pipe changeover systems, the flow should be based on the chilled water flow rate. Variable flow design can lower the flow rate for heating.

Central chilled water and boiler plants allow the designer to apply diversity to the load. Whether the diversity is applied to flow or temperature range will depend on the plant design and valve selection.

For boilers, a high efficiency, condensing boiler is the best choice. The condensing boiler efficiency is over 90%, which will lower the school operating cost. They can be selected in modules to provide staging and redundancy. In addition, condensing boilers require no circulating boiler pumps and they have a very small footprint. This allows the mechanical room size to be reduced as an added benefit to school administrators.

Proper flushing of the piping system is critical to the correct operation of the system. If the system is not properly flushed, the contaminants can lodge in the small heat exchangers used in decentralized equipment which can be extremely difficult to resolve.

Pumping Design

In most cases, some form of redundant pumps is preferred. This can include two pumps, each sized for the load with one as a standby. It can also include three pumps, each sized for half the load, with two operating and one as a standby. All pumps should have a check valve on their discharge line and strainers on their suction lines.

ASHRAE Standard 90.1 requires hydronic systems with system pump power exceeding 10 hp to employ variable flow and isolation valves at each terminal device. The system must be able to operate down to at least 50% of design flow. Individual pumps over 5 HP must have VFDs and consume no more than 30% design power at 50% design flow. The Standard has some exceptions to this requirement. For variable flow, two-way control valves are required. Threeway valves are not acceptable. A bypass will also be required to maintain minimum flow. Minimum flow will be dictated by the requirements of the chiller or boiler plant, and will likely be 33% or more. For a variable frequency drive, horsepower savings are minimal below 20Hz due to motor inefficiencies.

Face and bypass systems should be installed with end-of-cycle shutoff valves so that variable flow can be accomplished.

Varying the system flow can be accomplished several ways. If the three pump approach is used (two operating, one as a standby), then one of the pumps can be turned OFF at reduced demand. Two speed pumps or variable frequency drives can also be used.

Self-Contained Unit Ventilators

Self-contained unit ventilators do not require chilled water plants. They include DX cooling, either completely integrated into the unit or as a split system with an air-cooled condenser nearby. The advantage of this approach is overall first cost, reduced complexity and no requirement for a chiller mechanical room.

The disadvantages of self-contained units are lower energy efficiency, poorer space control (particularly in dehumidification) and no way to take into account cooling diversity. More tons of cooling will have to be purchased for a school using the self-contained approach than for a school based on a central chiller plant. In addition, sound can be a concern because the units may have compressors located in the classroom.

Heating can be hot water, steam or electric. Integrated selfcontained units can also be air-to-air heat pumps, greatly improving their energy efficiency but also requiring more operating hours for the refrigeration circuit. Air-to-air heat pumps are popular in warmer climates where the heating requirements are less. Supplemental heating may be required if the ambient conditions stay below freezing for any length of time.

Self-contained unit ventilators are an excellent choice for small to medium size elementary schools where cooling is required. Electric heat self-contained units are well suited for portable classroom applications as well.

WSHP Unit Ventilators

Unit ventilators can also be supplied as a water source heat pump (WSHP) or as a ground source heat pump. This approach allows the designer to have WSHPs with built in airside economizers. Further energy savings can be realized by using ground source to eliminate the need for a boiler and closed circuit cooler.

The next section describes the WSHP design concept in detail. However, WSHP unit ventilators have some unique properties. Since the coil is refrigerant-cooled and heated, face and bypass is not possible. There are also limits on the heating capacity of WSHP unit ventilators. As a rule of thumb, the unit ventilator can work down to about 15°F ambient. Further care must be taken to protect the heat pump water loop from freezing. Figure 30: Self-Contained Unit Ventilator



Ground source heat pump unit ventilators do not have loop freezing issues as the loop will have some form of antifreeze. The designer should discuss what kind of antifreeze to use with the school board. Concerns about toxicity, performance and environmental issues will need to be reviewed.

Ambient conditions that exceed the heating capacity of the unit ventilator will require either supplemental heating (a small electric heater) or a central system to deal with outdoor air. If a central system is used, it does not have to be sized for all of the outdoor air. Depending on the site conditions, it may only need to be sized for half of the outdoor air with the WSHP unit handling the balance of the outdoor air requirement.

WSHPs

General

The WSHP concept is very versatile. As a decentralized system, it takes advantage of moving energy around the school in water rather than air. It also has the advantage of not relying on a central chiller plant for cooling. The main advantage of the WSHP concept is its ability to add and subtract energy from a common loop. By doing this, the heat collected from zones that require cooling are used to heat the zones that require heating. The WSHP loop is a single loop that does not require insulation, which significantly reduces first cost. WSHPs are an excellent choice for medium to large schools, schools with larger internal zones and retrofit applications.

Figure 31: Various WSHP Units



WSHPs for Classrooms

WSHPs come in various shapes and sizes as shown in Figure 31. The most common style used for classrooms is a ceiling concealed version. Figure 32 shows the typical layout with the heat pump in the corridor, ducted into the classroom. The return air is also ducted. A dedicated outdoor air ventilation system supplies outdoor air to each heat pump.

Using vertical units in a closet beside the classroom improves the serviceability of the heat pump but uses floor space. The supply air can be ducted above the ceiling and the closet can be used as a return air plenum. The outdoor air can also be delivered to the closet.

Since the heat pump is a compressorized unit, extra care has to be taken regarding acoustics. The discharge sound from the fan can be treated following the practices outlined in the "Sound Issues" section of this manual. The radiated sound mostly comes from the compressor. Units with extra quiet construction should be used. Locating the unit in the corridor will maintain acceptable sound levels in the classroom.

Figure 32: Classroom with WSHP System





WSHPs for Other Areas

Areas such as libraries, gyms and administration can all be handled with heat pumps. Libraries and administration areas can use ceiling concealed units. Gyms often use large vertical heat pumps.

Condensate Issues

WSHPs will generate condensate while cooling in most climates. The units have drain pans that need to be field trapped and drained. Both the trap and the slope required for draining must be taken into consideration. Ceiling units will require condensate lines above the ceiling. Closet units will need drains in the closet or some other system to deal with the condensate. Table 5 is a condensate sizing chart.

Table 5: Condensate Line Sizing Chart

Pipe						C	onnected	Cooling L	oad in To	ns					
Size	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	450	500	550	600	650	700	750
1/4"	Not Reco	mmended													
3/8"	Not Reco	mmended													
1/2"	Not Reco	mmended													
3/4"	Up to	2 Tons Co	nnected C	ooling Loa	d										
1"	Up to 5 Tons Connected Cooling Load														
1-1/4"	Up to 30 Tons Connected Cooling Load														
1-1/2"	Up to 50 Tons Connected Cooling Load														
2"	Up to 170 Tons Connected Cooling Load														
3"	Up to 300 Tons Connected Cooling Load														
4"									Up to 430	Tons Conr	nected Coo	ling Load			
6"														Up to 700 Connecte Load) Tons ed Cooling

Ground Source Heat Pumps

Ground source heat pumps are similar to water source heat pumps with the exception that they are designed to operate with colder water. Standard water source heat pumps cannot be used in ground source applications. Ground source systems have a high first cost due to the ground loop. However, they can be economical to operate because no boiler of coolers are required. Incentives are usually available for ground source systems and it is a good idea to check with the local utility about these programs.

In cooler climates, heating for entrances and outdoor air must be considered. If natural gas is available, a boiler can be used, but this will increase the installation cost.

Another alternative is to use a Templifier[™] to make hot water from the ground loop that can be used for outdoor air and entrance heating.

Ground source systems use extract or reject heat from the ground using a series of underground pipes. The pipes can be looped horizontally or vertically in deep holes depending upon which is more advantageous. Vertical holes are more common. Approximately 150 to 200 ft holes per ton are required. A school with a 250 ton design cooling load will require 250 holes 200 feet deep on 15 ft centers. The loops are typically in parallel to minimize the fluid pressure drop. The holes are backfilled with a special material to enhance energy transfer and protect the piping.

Ground source loops operate near freezing temperatures so some form of antifreeze is required. Several solutions are available however, school facility managers are sensitive to toxicity issues, so the type of antifreeze should be discussed with them prior to design.

The outdoor air load represents 1/3 of the system load. To take full advantage of the ground loop, the HVAC design should address how to tie in the outdoor air load to the ground loop. One option is to use water to water GSHPs and use the heated/cooled water in an air handling unit. Another solution is to use a Templifier to produce up to 140F hot water for heating the outdoor air and the entrance heaters and an enthalpy wheel to reduce the cooling load. The latter solution resolves supplemental heating issues and is more energy efficient than even a GSHP.

Figure 33: Ground Source System



Fan Coils

General

Like WSHPs, fan coils allow cooling and heating to be distributed through piping rather than ducting. Fan coils require a chiller and boiler plant as well as a dedicated outside air system (discussed in the next section). Diversity can be taken into account in sizing the chiller plant. Fan coils do not have radiated sound issues because there are no compressors. They are an excellent solution for medium to large schools and retrofits.

Fan coils can have a single coil (two-pipe) or two coils (fourpipe). Two-pipe systems require changeover and are not a good solution for schools. Four-pipe systems have dedicated cooling and heating coils and are recommended for school applications. The four-pipe system allows some fan coils to be in heating while others are in cooling. The system does, however, require two insulated hydronic loops within the school which can raise installation costs.

Fan Coils for Classrooms

Figure 34 shows several different fan coil styles. Horizontal ThinLine units are designed for offices with short supply duct runs and no return ducts. Vertical wall mounted ThinLine fan coils are also designed for offices and will not stand up well to the classroom environment. Large Capacity fan coils have the configuration flexibility, static rating and robustness for school applications.

Large capacity units can use a direct drive or belt drive. Direct drive units offer the advantage of having no belts to service. However, they are more limited in their static rating and air balancing flexibility than belt drive units. To compensate, direct drive large capacity fan coils are three-speed to help with balancing.

Belt drive units offer the best range of static capabilities and air balancing. They can also be supplied with a wide range of voltages with single or three phase motors.

Figure 35 shows a typical classroom layout with a large capacity fan coil in the corridor. The ducting is similar to a ceiling concealed heat pump. The ducting provides good air distribution and absorbs discharge sound from the fan coil. Radiated sound is generally not an issue since there is no compressor.

Figure 34: Various Fan Coil Units



Figure 35: Classroom with Fan Coil System





Fan Coils for Other Areas

The administrative areas can be handled with ThinLine type fan coils mounted in the ceiling plenum or on the wall. Fan coils or small air handling units can service gyms, libraries and other large areas.

Condensate Issues

Fan coils will generate condensate while cooling in most climates. The units have drain pans that need to be field trapped and drained. Both the trap and the slope required for draining must be taken into consideration. Ceiling units will require condensate lines above the ceiling. Refer to Table 5 for a condensate sizing chart.

Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems (DOAS)

General

Several HVAC systems such as WSHPs and fan coils require dedicated outdoor air systems to meet the classroom ventilation requirements (typically 450 cfm per classroom). Large schools can require significant systems. A 200,000 ft² high school can require 28,000 cfm of ventilation air. These systems are expensive to operate and special care should be taken in their design and selection. A basic gas heat, DX cooling system can cost over \$30,000/yr. to operate. This cost can almost be cut in half with a good design and the proper equipment.

Where required, energy recovery systems must be at least 50% efficient.

Outdoor Air Psychrometrics

Since the outdoor air load represents a large portion of the school heating and cooling load, and it has the potential to introduce large amounts of moisture into the school, the psychrometrics must be clearly understood. For example consider a schoolroom located in Miami, Florida. The ASHRAE design conditions are 90°F db and 79°F wb. The indoor design condition is 75°F db and 62.5°F wb (50% RH).

One way to achieve the design conditions is to cool the outdoor air to 75°F db with either DX or chilled water coils. If this is done the outdoor supply air will be over 90% RH. Return air from the classroom will mix with the outdoor air and the additional cooling load from the outdoor air will be placed on the fan coil or WSHP. In this case the load is all latent and is 19,000 Btu/hr. Most terminal systems are not designed for such a high amount of latent cooling. The unit will have to be oversized which can add to noise concerns.

Figure 36 shows another approach. The outdoor air is cooled to 55°F, which is the dewpoint for the classroom design to deal with the latent portion of the ambient air. However, the supply air could actually over-cool the classroom in shoulder weather, so a reset schedule is needed to raise the supply air temperature as the ambient temperature drops. While this would resolve most issues about over cooling, little or no cooling would occur and the classroom RH would climb when the design conditions are 75°F db and high humidity.

A better solution is to use a fixed face and bypass arrangement. Some of the outdoor air passes through the cooling coil and is cooled to 51°F or 52°F. The balance of the air bypasses the coil and mixes with the cooled air. The result is 75°F supply air with only 2/3 the moisture found in the first example.

Figure 36: Outdoor Air Example









There are several items to note in the above example. First, the outdoor air cooling load is large. For a typical Miami classroom, the outdoor load is 29 MBH. Second, it is not easy to cool ambient air to classroom design conditions with either chilled water or DX cooling. It is possible to cool the air to the classroom dewpoint (55°F) and reheat it to 75°F. However, this is an expensive approach and not very energy efficient.

How well the outdoor air ventilation unit operates will have a huge impact on the selection of the classroom terminal units. Most building load calculation programs allow the engineer to enter the amount and conditions of ventilation air supplied to the return of a classroom HVAC unit. The program can then calculate the mixed air condition and provide the additional outdoor air cooling load that must be handled by the classroom unit. Accurate conditions must be used. If the estimate used in calculating cooling loads is different from the real performance of the outdoor air unit, the loads will have to be recalculated.

Evaluating outdoor air units based on nominal tonnage is also very dangerous. Assume the Miami school has 10 classrooms. The outdoor air cooling load is then 10 times 29 mbh or 290 MBH (24.2 tons). While this load could be used to calculate the school block load and to size a chiller, it cannot be used to describe the outdoor unit. A nominal "24-ton" outdoor air unit could supply air anywhere from 63°F and 100% RH to 75°F and 50% RH. Therefore, outdoor air units need to be evaluated by the entering and leaving air conditions.

Figure 38: Outdoor Air Supplied at 55°F db







Energy Recovery Systems

There are several popular energy recovery devices available in the market today. Common systems include enthalpy wheels, heat pipes, plate-to-plate heat exchangers and run around loops. Most require that the return air and the supply air be connected to a common unit. This requires that the return air be ducted back to a mechanical room or rooftop unit. Several smaller energy recovery devices located nearer to the classrooms may be easier to install and less costly. They also provide redundancy.

An in depth study of each of the energy recovery systems are beyond the scope of this manual. However, two systems will be discussed as they have special merit for schools.

Enthalpy Wheels

Enthalpy wheels are coated with a desiccant that absorbs moisture in one air stream and releases it to another. This allows an enthalpy wheel to dehumidify air without having to cool it to the dewpoint as in the case with cooling coils. An enthalpy wheel selected for Miami conditions would supply air at $78.4^{\circ}F$ db and $66.6^{\circ}F$ wb.

The enthalpy wheel provides 23 MBH of the 29 MBH required cooling in each classroom. Moreover, the outdoor air is dehumidified enough so that no further conditioning is required at the outdoor air unit. Therefore, the enthalpy wheel does not require any mechanical cooling. The terminal units can easily handle the small remaining load. Enthalpy Wheels can provided in Vision[™] Air Handling Units and RPSTM rooftop units.

Enthalpy wheels also perform very well in cooler climates. Similar to plate-to-plate heat exchangers and heat pipes, enthalpy wheels can sensibly heat outdoor air. However, enthalpy wheels have the added advantage of transferring moisture from the return air stream to the supply air. This has two positive effects. First, the dew point of the exhaust air stream is lowered, allowing the wheel to continue to transfer sensible heat before some form of defrosting is required. Most other devices will need to defrost at around 32°F, which inhibits their ability to transfer energy.

The second advantage is the humidity of the supply air is increased significantly in cold weather. An enthalpy wheel can raise the RH of the outdoor air from essentially 0% to around 20% RH in winter climates.

Enthalpy wheels differentiate themselves from all other devices in their ability to transfer moisture. Heat pipes, plate-to-plate heat exchangers and runaround loops can transfer sensible energy reasonably well, which can save on operating costs during the winter months in colder climates. However, sensible cooling in the summer has only a minimal impact and the outdoor air would still require further mechanical cooling. Sensible energy transfer devices provide no help with humidity loads in the winter months.









Run-around Loops

Run-around loops circulate a fluid (usually a water/glycol solution) through coils located in the return air (where heat is added to the loop) to coils located in the outdoor air (where heat is rejected from the loop).

The main advantage to run-around loops is the return air and the outdoor air do not have to be near each other. The fluid can be pumped from one mechanical room to another. In addition, several exhaust air streams (For example the bathroom exhaust and return air) can service one outdoor air unit.

Figure 42 shows a typical Run Around Loop design for a 10,000 cfm outdoor air unit and two 5,000 cfm exhaust air units. A 1 HP pump is required to circulate the 40% propylene glycol solution during energy recovery. The system can transfer 385 mbh at -5°F. At 32°F ambient, the run around loop transfers 214 MBH.

In most cases a three-way valve is required during cold weather as frost control. When the exhaust air temperature approaches 32°F, some fluid is bypassed around the outdoor air coil to avoid freezing the exhaust air coils. Another key design issue is the exhaust air coils will usually form condensate. They are "cooling coils" and as such require a drain pan piped to drain. A good solution is to use a Vision cooling coil section with integral drain pan. As with any closed loop system, an expansion tank is required.

In assessing their value the designer must consider the pump brake horsepower and coil air pressure drop penalties. Including bypasses around the coils for periods when the energy recovery is not possible or required can minimize the coil air pressure drop penalty. Standard 90.1 may require bypasses if an economizer is necessary

Figure 42: Run-around Loop



Central Systems

General

Central systems are all-air systems that condition air in a remote location (i.e. a mechanical room or on a roof) and then distribute it through ductwork to the occupied spaces. The centralized approach has the advantage of distancing the mechanical equipment from the occupants, thereby reducing sound issues. Locating the equipment remotely also allows service to be performed without interfering with the occupants.

Central systems generally allow airside economizers to be integrated into the design. The economizers allow the equipment to supply the high amounts of outdoor air needed in school designs. A separate, standalone outdoor air system is usually not required. Diversity can also be built into the central system to reduce operating costs.

Some disadvantages of central systems are they are more complicated to design, install, commission and operate. The ductwork can be very large and difficult to fit in the ceiling plenum. To work properly, central systems require a reasonable sophisticated Building Automation System (BAS). The energy disadvantage of central systems is the large amount of fan power required to distribute the air.

Multiple Zones

Central systems differentiate themselves from decentralized systems in that one system serves many zones (classrooms). Because the needs of each classroom will not always be the same, some manner of adjustment must be built into the central system.

The two parameters that can be varied in a central system are supply air temperature and/or supply air volume. Examples of variable air temperature are terminal reheat induction and fan powered VAV. Variable supply air volume systems are more commonly known as VAV.

Restricted Systems

Several central systems offer excellent space control but are very inefficient. ASHRAE Standard 90.1 restricts the use of systems that have simultaneous cooling and heating. These systems can include:

- · Constant volume reheat
- Perimeter induction
- Multizone
- · Constant volume, dual duct

Constant volume reheat and perimeter induction systems typically cool the supply air to 55°F and then reheat it at each zone to maintain space conditions. Some Multizone systems simultaneously mix cooled air and heated air to meet the requirements of the zone. While they were very popular in schools during the 1970s, many systems have reached the end of their useful life and needed to be replaced. Standard 90.1 covers additions and alterations to existing buildings. When reviewing options for replacing systems such as multizone, Standard 90.1 compliance should be taken into consideration.

There several exceptions allowed by the Standard. It is recommended that the readers familiarize themselves with this section of the Standard.

Heating and Ventilating Systems

Heating and ventilating systems are the most basic central system. They can heat the space and provide the necessary outdoor air, but they are not equipped with cooling. However, they can cool the space by means of an airside economizer when weather permits. The air handling units can be located in a mechanical room or on the roof.

If an air handling unit services a single zone, such as a gym, then the unit controller can vary the supply air temperature to maintain proper space conditions. In most cases, however, several zones will be serviced from one unit. Therefore, is a good idea to have reheat coils for each zone as shown in Figure 43. The heating and ventilating unit typically supplies 55°F air to all the zones. If a particular zone is being overcooled, the local zone temperature controller raises the supply air temperature by means of a reheat coil. However, this system does comply with ASHRAE Standard 90.1 because the air is not mechanically cooled.

Heating and ventilating systems are popular is smaller schools where cooling is not required. A central boiler can be used for preheating the supply air and the reheat coils. Energy recovery is possible as shown in Figure 43.

When air handling units are selected for heating-only applications, the coil face velocity can be much higher than is permissible with cooling coils. In addition, no condensate drain pans are required. If future cooling is considered, the coil face velocities should be selected based on cooling coil parameters with a drain pan added to the unit. Note that adding cooling to this system would create a constant volume terminal reheat system, which is restricted by Standard 90.1. Further changes, such as switching to VAV would also be required.

Variable Temperature, Constant Volume Systems

Variable temperature, constant volume systems serve only one zone (i.e. a gym). They are similar to heating and ventilating systems except a cooling coil is included in the unit to cool air as necessary. Since only one zone is served, the unit never heats and cools at the same time and complies with Standard 90.1.

Dehumidification can be an issue with variable temperature, constant volume systems. During periods of mild but humid weather, the system will raise the supply air temperature to maintain the space dry bulb temperature. Since the air is no longer being cooled to approximately 55°F, the moisture is no longer removed and the space humidity will climb.

Figure 43: Heating and Ventilating System



VAV Systems

To avoid simultaneous heating and cooling and to minimize fan brake horsepower, VAV systems are often employed. In VAV systems, the supply air temperature is held constant (typically around 55° F) and the amount of air supplied to the space is changed to meet the cooling or heating load.

To modulate the airflow into a zone (classroom), some form of damper is used. The most common method is to uses a premanufactured VAV box. A temperature sensor, located in the space modulates the damper to maintain the room setpoint.

As more and more boxes close, the duct system static pressure increases. The supply fan is then modulated to maintain duct static pressure either by discharge dampers (FC fans only), inlet guide vanes or Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs).

The difficulty with VAV systems is maintaining outdoor air levels. As the supply air volume to the zone (classroom) is reduced to maintain space temperature, there is a risk that the amount of outdoor air entering the space will fall below minimum ventilation requirements. The solution is to maintain the net amount of outdoor air and reduce the amount of recirculated air. Consider a system serving 10 classrooms with the following design conditions:

- Supply Air volume 12,000 cfm
- Supply Air temperature 55°F
- Outdoor Air Required 4,500 cfm

If we assume that each classroom has the same outdoor air requirement, then outdoor air represents about 38% of the total supply air volume at design conditions. As the supply air volume decreases in response to more moderate weather, the percentage of outdoor air will increase. For example, if the cooling load can be met with 9,000 cfm of supply air, then the 4,500 cfm of outside air will represent 50% of the total supply air volume.

The above example has been used for illustration only. In most schools, the outdoor air requirements vary from zone to zone. ASHRAE Standard 62.1 has a method of calculating the correct percentage of outdoor air to ensure all zones receive the correct amount. This is also covered in the IAQ section of this manual.

In addition, the example illustrates that the ability to maintain minimum ventilation requirements with VAV systems hinges on the system consistently bringing in the minimum outdoor air requirement. To do this, some form of direct airflow measurement is required, particularly with rooftop VAV systems. The dynamics of the rooftop environment (wind, heat, humidity) can cause turbulence, pressure variations and uneven flow patterns that make accurate, repeatable measurement very difficult. 's One answer is the DesignFlow[™] Precision Outdoor Air Measurement system which responds directly to the total mass volume of air flowing through the outdoor air intake area and automatically corrects to terms of standard air. In doing so, it is indifferent to uneven flow profiles, air turbulence, and pressure variations.

Figure 44: VAV System



Dependent vs. Independent Systems

VAV systems can be either dependent or independent. The difference is mostly in the VAV box design. Dependent VAV boxes modulate the damper position in proportion to the cooling load. Independent VAV boxes modulate the air volume in proportion to the cooling load. Independent VAV boxes can measure the amount of air passing through them while dependent VAV boxes cannot. A good example of an independent box is a constant volume box. As the supply duct pressure fluctuates, the box's damper modulates to maintain a fixed airflow rate.

Independent systems are more desirable because they offer better control. Dependent systems cost less and are generally limited to Variable Volume, Variable Temperature (VVT) systems. They are not commonly used in school applications.

VAV and Diversity

VAV systems allow the option of diversity. The cooling load for each zone (classroom) is calculated individually. However, not all the zones will peak at the same time. Figure 45 shows a system with 6 classrooms. The energy demand in the three classrooms facing East is at a maximum in the morning. In the afternoon, the energy demand in the classrooms facing west is at a maximum while the east classrooms are heavily loaded. The maximum load occurs at 3 p.m. and the total required supply air is 6,000 cfm.

The actual connected load is 6 times 1200 cfm or 7,200 cfm. The air-handling unit should be selected and the ductwork designed for 6,000 cfm with a diversity of 83%.

It is not an uncommon practice to "default" to a minimum cfm/ft² and design the air system based on this airflow while applying diversity to the cooling plant (chiller) size. This practice should be discouraged as it will result in an oversized air handler and ducting. Even worse, the cooling load calculated from the air handling unit psychrometrics and the cooling load from the load estimation won't match. The potential for a fundamental design flaw is very high.

Figure 45: VAV and Diversity



VAV with Reheat

Standard 62.1 requires that the minimum airflow for a VAV zone be no less than the minimum outdoor air requirement. On a moderate day, this can supply more air than is required to meet the cooling load. As a result, the classroom temperature will drop below the design condition.

In this case, reheat is allowed by Standard 90.1.

The reheat can take the form of a reheat coil in the ductwork or some form of parameter radiation (wall fin, radiant panels). In the case of parameter radiation, Standard 90.1 has certain requirements for zone control.

Standard 90.1 does allow reheat for other situations and it is recommended that you familiarize yourself with the Standard.

Fan Assisted VAV

A modification to the standard VAV system is to use fan assisted VAV boxes. These boxes include a small fan which can draw in return (induction) air and mix it with the supply air (sometimes called primary air). The boxes come in two forms, parallel and series flow.

Parallel flow systems (Figure 46) provide constant temperature, VAV when cooling and variable temperature, constant volume when heating. As the space load drops, the supply air is reduced from maximum flow to minimum flow. As the load drops further, the fan in the box is started, mixing warm return air with the minimum supply air volume. Reheat can be added if further heating is required.

Series flow systems (Figure 47) provide variable temperature, constant flow. The fan operates all the time the space is occupied. As the supply air is reduced to minimum flow, the fan draws in more and more induction air raising the supply air temperature. Further heat can be added by staging on reheat.

Both systems use heat in the ceiling plenum for reheat, which is very energy efficient. However, both operate a small fan which uses power and adds to the sound issue. Parallel boxes do not operate the fan all the time. While this saves power, the cycling of the fan can be a bigger sound issue than a constant sound source.

If the boxes are equipped with reheat coils, they can be used for maintaining space setback during unoccupied hours in lieu of starting up the main air-handling unit.

Figure 46: Paralllel Flow Box



Figure 47: Series Flow Box



Dual Duct Applications

Traditional dual duct systems supply each zone with hot air in one duct and cold air in another. A dual duct box then mixes the two supply air streams to meet the required space load. Because this system involves simultaneous heating and cooling, Standard 90.1 restricts it.

A variation of the dual duct concept is dual fan, dual duct arrangement shown in Figure 48. This system delivers neutral return air (around 75°F) and cold air (around 55°F) to each dual duct box. The box can modulate the volume of each supply air source. As the space cools off, the cold primary air is reduced to minimum flow. With a further drop in load, the neutral air damper opens raising the air temperature supplied to the space.

Fan brake horsepower is reduced since both systems are VAV. Reheat is accomplished by means of plenum air, which is also efficient. The small fan motors used in fan assisted VAV boxes are not as efficient as the hot deck fan arrangement. Sound issues are also reduced because the fans are located away from the occupied spaces. However, installing two duct systems will cost more than a single duct system.

Fan Brake Horsepower Considerations

Fans are generally the largest energy consumers in an HVAC system. ASHRAE Standard 90.1 has fan power limitations for all air systems with a fan nameplate horsepower over 5 HP.

The Standard allows credits for special filters, process devices and certain applications of relief fans. These allowances are shown in Table 6.5.3.1-2 in the standard and are summed in the equation variable "A". It is recommended that you review this part of the standard carefully.

Figure 48: Dual Duct, Dual Fan System



Table 6: Standard 90.1 Fan Horsepower Requirements

	Nameplate hp	Brake hp
Constant Volume Systems	HP ≤ cfm × 0.0011	BHP ≤ cfm × 0.00094 + A
VAV Systems	HP ≤ cfm × 0.0015	BHP ≤ cfm × 0.0013 + A

Duct Design Basics

Good duct design is critical to the success of a central system design. Most school design is based on low to medium pressure systems. Often, duct systems must be located in the corridor ceiling plenum along with other equipment. Careful layout is required. The designer should refer to SMACNA and ASHRAE guidelines for duct design.

Most schools are under 3 stories; so long duct runs are an issue. The ducts become large and the fan power to distribute air rises. This can be reduced by breaking down the school into smaller sections and having each section serviced by an air handling unit located within the section.

ASHRAE Standard 90.1 has requirements for duct leakage and insulation which must be met.

Air distribution within the space is critical to maintaining space conditions and minimizing sound concerns. Refer to the Sound Issues section of this manual for quiet duct design. VAV systems complicate matters because the terminal devices must work over a wide range of airflows without dumping. Be careful not to oversize the terminal device with VAV systems.

To calculate the required supply air in the space, the internal heat gain must first be calculated. Figure 49 shows the psychrometric process for a typical classroom. Although the supply air is cooled to 55°F off the coil, the fan work (assuming a draw through unit) will raise the supply air temperature delivered to the classroom to about 57°F. This leaves an 18°F delta T to absorb the sensible heat in the classroom.

- · Internal sensible gains: 29,400 Btu/hr
- CFM = 29,400 / (18°F × 1.085)
- CFM = 1500

The latent cooling should also be reviewed. In office buildings, the latent load from the space is minimal, so most effort goes to resolving the high sensible heat gains. School classrooms have higher latent gains due to the student count. Thirty students can provide 6,000 Btu/h of latent load. This will have the effect of raising the RH in the classroom about 5%. If 50% RH must be maintained, then the supply air will have to be 6 grains/lb drier or have a dew point of 52.5°F.

For most engineers, the process of calculating zone air volumes is computerized. However it is important to understand the process and to understand how data input into the program will affect the output.

Figure 49: Central System Psychrometrics



Central System Equipment

Air Handling Units

Air handling units typically consist of mixing box, filter bank, heating coil, cooling coil and fan section. They can be either indoor such as Vision unit or outdoor such as the RAH units. The trademark of air handling units is a very flexible layout.

Air handling units typically have hot water and chilled water coils. They require some form of chilled water plant and boiler system. In smaller systems, DX coils can be used along with remote air-cooled condensing units. Many local codes have special requirements to isolate the air handling units from the boiler and chiller.

Features that should be considered for schools are double wall construction, internally isolated efficient fans, access to all components (especially coils) and sloped drain pans. The goal here is to select a quiet, serviceable, IAQ-ready air-handling unit. Selection of air-handling units is usually done in computer programs such as Daikin Tools[™].

Air handling units take up a significant portion of the mechanical room. The designer must address many issues. The outdoor air and exhaust air openings must be far enough apart to avoid recirculation. Avoid using the same wall for both openings. Consider a mushroom cap for the exhaust air if the ceiling is the roof. Coil removal is another concern. Normally coils do not have to be removed for service such as cleaning. However if a coil is badly damaged (i.e. frozen) it may need to be replaced. It is essential that the coils can be removed somehow. Some air handling units like the Vision unit will allow the coil to be removed vertically.

Low wide units provide more head room for running ducting above while narrow tall units require less floor space and less room for coil removal. The Vision and other custom air handling units can vary the cross sectional area of the unit to best fit the mechanical room.

The condensate trapping height must be correct or the unit will flood. Concrete housekeeping pads are common but expensive. In addition, the higher the pad, the heavier it will be. Consider using high base rails supplied with the air-handling unit to get the necessary trapping height.

VAV systems require special attention. The fans must be selected with enough turndown to meet the requirements of the design and still offer stable operation. Acoustics is also an issue. Careful selection of the fans can greatly reduce the sound energy. Any savings found selecting forward curved fans over airfoil fans could be lost when the additional acoustics treatments are included.

VFDs provide the most efficient and quietest solution to varying air volumes. Inlet guide vanes are basic mechanical devices well understood by a broad range of technicians and operators. If a school district is comfortable with the technology, VFDs are recommended. ASHRAE Standard 90.1 requires that 5 HP and larger fan motors must use no more than 30% of design power at 50% airflow. Typically, only VFDs type fans can meet this requirement. Figure 50: Vision Air Handling Unit



As the supply air volume is reduced, the outdoor air volume should remain constant. In cold weather applications the mixed air temperature can get very low. For an ambient design condition of -10°F and a 50% airflow rate, the mixed air temperature is below freezing. Although a VAV air-handling unit supplies only cold air, it may need a heating coil. Alternatively, reheat coils at the VAV boxes can be used. Care should be taken to ensure condensation along the ductwork won't occur if the supply air is not heated until the VAV boxes.

In colder climates, coil freeze-ups are another issue, particularly with rooftop equipment. Antifreeze, pumped coils and face and bypass coils are all options that can be considered to protect the coils from freezing.

Larger air handling systems will require a return fan to maintain proper building pressurization. For rooftop equipment, the return fan should be integral to the unit. For indoor units, the designer will usually have more design flexibility if the return fan is separate from the supply air unit. Hanging the return fan from the ceiling makes good use of the mechanical room space.

Tubular centrifugal inline fans or cabinet fans are good options for return fans. Cabinet fans are an excellent choice if a runaround system is be used. A coil section can be added to the fan cabinet for the run around loop. Ensure the coil section has a drain pan as the coil will probably form condensation.

Long supply air ducts provide a significant amount of sound attenuation. Return fans can cause more sound issues because of short duct runs even though the supply air fan operates at higher static pressures releases more sound energy. Units used in applications such as gyms, with no return fans, can still have return duct sound issues. The designer is cautioned to always review the return duct sound path.

Chillers

Chiller plants are required for several HVAC systems used in schools. These include unit ventilators, fan coils and air handling units. Using chilled water for cooling provides excellent control high efficiency and the equipment can be located away from the students. Chillers can be either air or water cooled. A wide range of compressors are used for chillers, each which has strengths and weaknesses.

Since the chiller plant represents a major power consumer in the school, special care should be taken in selection and design. Performance must be balanced with first cost and serviceability. For a basic understanding of how centrifugal chillers operate, refer to Centrifugal Chiller Fundamentals, AG31-002. Chiller Plant Design, AG31-003 explains various chiller plants systems such as primary/secondary, variable flow and parallel chiller systems.

Rooftop Systems

Rooftop equipment comes in two distinct forms - unitary and applied. Unitary equipment is designed for light commercial applications and is not suited for school applications. It has limited outdoor air capability, lighter construction and more basic operation.

Applied equipment is more robust and distinguished by its configuration flexibility. The basic unit has an economizer section, filters, supply fan, integral DX cooling advanced controls and modulating gas heat. Return fans, other forms of heat (hot water, steam, electric) and energy recovery are also available.

Applied systems have a wide operating range. Typically there are multiple DX circuits with several stages of unloading. The DX coils can be selected with various numbers of rows and fins/inch to meet the design conditions and to allow the units to be configured for optimal air temperature applications. Gas furnaces with a Daikin SuperMod[™] burner can have up to 20:1 turndown. This is particularly important in VAV applications where preheat is required. The combination of small temperature rises and low air volume necessitates high turndown for controllability. This type of flexibility makes applied equipment a good choice for school applications.

Rooftop equipment does not require a mechanical room, which improves the useable floor area to total floor area ratio. Servicing rooftop equipment has to be done from outdoors and the school board should be involved in the decision to locate equipment on the roof. Multiple units can be used reducing long duct runs, which saves fan horsepower and reduces duct sizes. It also provides some redundancy.

Many of the air handling unit issues discussed earlier applies to rooftop units. For VAV systems, high turndown burners allow gas heat to be used to raise the supply air temperature during reduced supply air volumes. If the design calls for a boiler plant, hot water coils can be supplied in the rooftop unit. To avoid freezing concerns, hot water coils can be installed in the supply air ductwork with in the building envelope. The rooftop unit controller can operate the hot water control valve.

Figure 51: Magnitude® Chiller



Figure 52: RPS Unit



In addition the previously discussed sound issues, rooftop refrigeration equipment creates sound issues. The RPS unit has a cantilevered condensing section that resolves compressor noise in the space. However environmental sound must be checked with all roof mounted equipment. Most local codes have requirements that certain sound levels be met at the property line. This is especially true for schools, which are built in residential neighborhoods. Radiated sound from the unit, particularly compressor sound, can be an issue at the property line. The designer should obtain the sound power levels and confirm the overall sound levels at the property line meet local codes. A method for this is described in SED 7512 and 9001.

Vertical Self-Contained Systems

Vertical self-contained units are institutional grade air conditioning units with water-cooled condensers. They are installed indoors in small mechanical rooms. The vertical layout has a small footprint, so the mechanical rooms can be very small. The units can be constant volume or VAV. Their DX system has multiple circuits, compressors and variable row and fin coil selections, which allows the unit to service a wide range of operating conditions. Vertical self-contained units come complete with full DDC controls that can be programmed for a wide range of applications.

Vertical self-contained units are located in small mechanical rooms throughout the school. They are cooled by a cooling tower water loop. The loop does not need to be insulated. Both airside and water-side economizers are available. With water-side economizers, the units can simultaneously use waterside free cooling with supplemental mechanical cooling. This extends the free cooling season considerably and reduces the operating cost. While this is popular in office applications, it requires a large outdoor air ventilation system for schools. Airside economizers avoid the outdoor air ventilation unit and allow the cooling tower to be shut down during periods when mechanical cooling is not required.

Vertical self-contained units provide a self-contained solution with the equipment located indoors. No chiller plant is required and the equipment is easily accessed for service without disrupting the students. Property sound issues are avoided for the most part with the exception that the cooling tower should be reviewed.

Vertical self-contained units do require mechanical rooms. Because they are compressorized, they can create sound issues around the mechanical room. The application Guide AG31-001, Achieving a Quiet Environment with Indoor Vertical Self-Contained Systems can assist the designer in resolving any sound issues.

Figure 53: SWP Unit



Templifier™

The Templifier is a unique product that can let a designer take advantage of low grade heat in fluids. A Templifier can produce up to 160°F hot water from waste heat such as Chiller condenser water. A Templifier is a much more efficient way to produce hot water for VAV reheat than heat recovery chillers. The higher water temperature will work with standard 1 or 2 row reheat coils rather than requiring 3 or 4 row coils common with the lower temperature (105°F) water produced by heat recovery chillers.

Templifiers can also be used with ground source heat pump loops to produce hot water to treat outdoor air. They can even be used with WSHP loops to extract heat from the closed loop and use it for treating the outdoor air. This is very useful where natural gas is not available. On occasions when the WSHP loop closed circuit cooler is rejecting heat, the Templifier can use that heat to condition the outdoor air rather than heating the air with boiler hot water or natural gas. Refer to Catalog CAT 614 Templifier for further information.

Figure 54: Templifier Unit



HVAC Controls

HVAC controls are a critical component to the success of the school design. Even the most basic HVAC design requires controls to operate properly. Most school districts require the ability to monitor and adjust HVAC systems remotely, typically from a district office or administrative building. This can be a difficult issue if a school district with 50 schools ends up with a dozen different controls systems. The potential for this is very real with the differing requirements and systems used in elementary, middle and high schools.

The solution is interoperability. Industry standard Protocols such as BACnet[®] and LonMark[®] allow the school board to accept systems from a wide range of controls vendors and still access them from a common front end.

Interoperability also improves integration within the school HVAC system itself. Mechanical equipment that has interoperability features such as Daikin's Open Choices[™] or Protocol Selectablity[™] can communicate directly with the school building automation system (BAS). It allows the designer and the school board to select the equipment they want and know that it will function seamlessly with the BAS they want.

Most mechanical equipment can be supplied with or without factory-mounted controls. Unit ventilators, WSHPs, fan coils, chillers, air handling units, vertical self-contained and rooftop equipment all can be supplied with controls. In the past, integrating them into BAS has been a problem. Interoperability now allows easy integration. Factory supplied equipment controls offers:

- A controller specifically designed and programmed for the particular piece of equipment and the application
- A full run factory test to ensure the unit and controls are functioning properly.
- Single source responsibility with equipment issues.
- · No warranty disclaimer issues.
- Smoother commissioning. The technician commissioning the equipment can also commission the controls.

The designer should familiarize themselves with controls capabilities and develop a controls strategy that will integrate new equipment and controls with the school with the school districts existing infrastructure. Even if the school district does not currently have an interoperable front end, it is wise to have all new projects built with interoperability included.

Conclusion

School HVAC design, while drawing on the basics, has very specific needs that must be addressed. Many stem from the relatively high amount of outdoor air required in schools. Energy conservation, serviceability, performance and economy all come into play when designing, building and operating a school HVAC system.

Although it may seem that the high amounts of outdoor air required in schools is excessive, the history of school design has shown that students in schools with safe and healthy air are better off than students in poor indoor air quality. Good design practice, such as the use of effective heat recovery can mitigate the cost of conditioning good quality indoor air.

This manual provides some insights into the various solutions available in the market today.

Further assistance in school HVAC design is available from your Daikin Applied Representative.

References

ASHRAE 2005. "Lesson in Curing Mold Problems" McMillian H., Block, J., ASHRAE Journal May 2005

ASHRAE 2003. "Report Card on Humidity Control" Fischer, JC ASHRAE Journal May 2003.

GAO 1995, 1996. "Condition of America's Schools" and "America's Schools Report Differing Conditions."

Humidity Control and Ventilation In Schools, Bayer, CW IAQ Applications, summer 2000 ASHRAE, Inc.

Humidity Control in Minnesota Schools, Minnesota Department of Commerce, State Energy Office, December 2003. Prepared by the Center for Energy and Environment.

Sound in the Classroom, Nelson, PB. February 2003 ASHRAE Journal, ASHRAE, Inc.

2014 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga

2015 ASHRAE HVAC Applications Handbook ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga

2012 ASHRAE HVAC Systems and Equipment Handbook ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga

2013 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga

ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 15-2010, Safety Standard for Refrigeration Systems. ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga.

ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 34-2010, Designation and Safety Classification of Refrigerants. ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga.

ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2010, Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings. ASHRAE. Atlanta, Ga.

CSA B52-99, Mechanical Refrigeration Code. CSA International. Rexdale Ont. Canada.

Selecting Valves and Piping Coils - Avery, Gil ASHRAE Journal, April 2000. ASHRAE. Atlanta Ga.

Daikin Engineering Solutions, Will Variable Evaporator Flow Negatively Affect Your Centrifugal Chiller? – Edition 3, April 2003. Daikin Applied, Minneapolis, Mn.

Daikin Engineering Solutions, Air Cooled Chillers-Benefits and Design Tips – Edition 7, April 2001. Daikin Applied, Minneapolis, Mn.

Daikin Engineering Solutions, Series Chillers, What's Old Is New Again. January 2002. Daikin Applied, Minneapolis, Mn.



